# Mark scheme

Q	Question		Answer/	Indicative content	Marks	Guidance
1	а		Task  Creating graphics such as a logo  Writing letters to clients to confirm their appointment date and time  Calculating the company profits at the end of each month  Storing, searching and updating client details and purchases  Creating brochures and flyers about the organisation	Task Application Software  Creating graphics such as a logo  Writing letters to clients to confirm their appointment date and time  Calculating the company profits at the end of each month  Storing, searching and updating client details and purchases  Creating brochures and Desktop publishing		Allow any sensible software. e.g. text editor for WP e.g. photo/image editor for row 1  BOD publication editor for DTP  DNA brand names - ignore brand name if application type is also given.  Examiner's Comments  Many candidates were able to score at least 4 marks on this question. Those who gave brand names were not given marks as is standard for these types of question. Few candidates were able to give DTP for the fifth application type. Many gave email for the second application type which would not be the most appropriate for writing letters to clients.
	b	i	to files	llet to max 2: nes/links code/progra /software libraries m a single executable	2	Allow one mark for valid description of static and/or dynamic linkers e.g. Static linkers combine code and libraries into one file / Dynamic linkers link/add addresses to libraries  Examiner's Comments  The majority of candidates were able to gain one mark on this question for stating that a linker links code with libraries but few went on to then describe it forming a single executable file.
		ii	1 mark per bu	llet to max 2:	2	

			<ul> <li>It is part of the operating system</li> <li>Loads an executable file (into memory)</li> <li>from secondary storage</li> <li>Loads the required software libraries</li> </ul>		MP2 - Allow loads applications/programs  Examiner's Comments  Many candidates confused loaders with compilers and IDEs and talked about being able to use libraries in source code or loading code into the compiler.
			Total	9	
2			<ul> <li>Compiler</li> <li> Would protect their source code / keep their IP secret</li> <li> meaning competitors cannot steal their ideas</li> <li> Protect their money making ideas</li> <li> would mean the game would run quicker (than if it were interpreted).</li> </ul>	AO2.1 (2)	Examiner's Comments  Although many candidates correctly selected 'compiler' for the type of translator, this was often not followed up with a suitable reason.
			Total	2	
3	а	i	<ul> <li>Application software allows the user to solve a problem / complete a task.</li> <li>Utility software is designed to monitor / manage / maintain a computer system</li> </ul>	AO1.2 (2)	Examiner's Comments  This question was generally answered well.
		ii	<ul> <li>Word Processor</li> <li>E.g</li> <li>Allows students to write essays / reports</li> <li>Spreadsheets</li> <li>E.g.</li> <li>Allow students to track/aggregate/calculate data</li> <li>Create charts</li> <li>Model situations / experiments</li> <li>Presentation</li> <li>E.g.</li> </ul>	AO1.1 (2) AO2.1 (2)	Allow any sensible application that could be used in a school environment as part of a student's workflow (e.g. photo editor, email client, web-browser).  Example must be specific to a school environment  Do not allow brand names for type of application  If a brand name is used, allow follow through mark for a valid example  Examiner's Comments  Although this question was generally answered well, some candidates have used brand names for applications

			<ul> <li>Allows stud presentatio topic</li> </ul>				which is not allowed. Where a valid application was given, this was generally followed up with an appropriate example.
		iii	Open Source access/modesource coess/modesource coess	dification  de the software ic needs nore wide y be a wide allable in the	to/of the vare to ly bug der pool of the o cost to to use	AO2.1 (2)	Examiner's Comments  This question was generally answered well although some candidates stated that the software can be modified rather than stating that the source code can be modified.
	b		e.g.  Disk defrage File manage Device driv System cleate Anti-virus/A Firewall Backup Compression	ement er anup .nti-malwa		AO2.1 (3)	Allow other examples if valid (no duplicates)  Examiner's Comments  The majority of candidates have selected three utilities correctly in their response.
			Total			11	
4			Compiler  Creates an executable file  Creates one line of object code for each line	Interpreter	Assembler (X)	AO1.2 (4)	For "creates an executable file", accept any of the following combinations:
			of source code				question states to tick 'one box', candidates were given a mark if they

		Translates all the high-level X code at once  A program needs to be translated each time it is run		selected either assembler or compiler or both options for 'creates an executable file'.
		Total	4	
5	i	Fewer mistakes (likely to be made) / More accurate     Faster as you can apply the same formula to multiple cells / By example     What-if analysis can be performed     Values can be changed and results     automatically(re)calculated (by using formulas)     Can be shared electronically	1	Do not accept "faster" on its own without clarification of what/why it is faster.  Examiner's Comments  Candidates who had a good knowledge of software were able to gain the mark on this question although many gave answers like faster or easier without saying what made it faster or easier.
	ii	<ul> <li>e.g.</li> <li>Database/DBMS</li> <li>to store/query/sort data about customers/staff/stock</li> <li>Word processor</li> <li>to create documents / letters / invoices for clients/staff</li> <li>Presentation software</li> <li>to create presentations for clients/staff</li> <li>Email software</li> <li>for staff to communicate with each other or with customers</li> <li>Graphics manipulation</li> <li>to produce adverts / images for sales</li> <li>Web browser</li> </ul>	4	Mark in pairs – one mark for naming type of application software, one for the example. Application type must be correct to give example.  Do not accept brand names for first mark but FT for example.  Ignore brand names if description given after E.g. Outlook / Email application  Accept other sensible application software (such as CAD, Desktop Publishing). Do not accept special purpose / bespoke / utility software.  Do not accept spreadsheet (given in question)  Example must be relevant to the business

			to view websites to purchase materials/stock/ view		Examiner's Comments
			competitor's website		Many candidates were able to identify application packages and could give valid tasks that a business could use them for. Less successful candidates tended to give utility software or were not able to apply the use to the business.
					Exemplar 1
					Example of seek 2 To write up letters to send to climbs fample of seek of South to seek of South to seek to south t
					The candidate has correctly identified 2 different applications and given a valid use for a business.
			No access to <u>source code</u>		Do not award a reverse of the mark point by describing open source
		iii	<ul> <li>Cannot modify/improve to meet business needs</li> <li>Cannot fix bugs</li> <li>(Usually) cost to purchase licences / licenceconditions to meet/ongoing fees</li> </ul>	3	Examiner's Comments  Candidates who understood that the source code is not available did well on this question as they were then able to expand their answer and gain full marks. Some candidates described open source without giving a drawback of closed source.
			Total	8	
					Do not allow answers referring to making the program executable, given in question.
6	а	i	To convert (high-level or assembly) code to low level/machine code	1	Examiner's Comments This question was generally well answered, though some candidates thought that a translator translated machine code into source code or that it translated code into something the computer could understand, without specifying what that was.
		ii	Compiler translates code all at once/before it's executed	4	Mark answers in pairs  Max 2 marks per answer space

	Corfile be to run     Inte tran run     Corerrorun     Inte errorun     Corerrorun     Inte errorun     Corerrorun     Inte errorun     Inte errorun	mpiler professions of the repreter and the repreter for mpiler lister preter for mpiled professions of the repreter for mpiled professions of the repreter for mpiled professions of the repreted for the reprete	ring runt roduces se / Does sed every needs to ext time sts all upiled co are any stops at programs e hidder	executable sn't need to time it is o re-program is de doesn't errors the first shave the need to have the first the first shave the first the firs	e o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o		Examiner's Comments This question was well answered by many candidates who showed two distinct differences.
þ	Comments and white- space are removed  Keywords are replaced with tokens  Object code is created  Symbol table  created	Lexical analysis x	Syntax analysis	Code generation  x		5	One mark per row. No mark if more than one/no box is ticked.  Accept other marks that clearly indicate choice (e.g. X)
	for variables  Builds an abstract syntax tree		x				

	С	<ul> <li>To make the program run faster/ code is more efficient</li> <li>To make the program use fewer resources/less memory</li> </ul>	2	Examiner's Comments  Many candidates gave good descriptions of how code is optimised, but they did not answer the question which asked what the purpose of optimisation is.
		Total	12	
7	a	1 mark per bullet up to a maximum of 2 marks, e.g:  • Word Processing • Writing letters to customers • Spreadsheets • Completing accounts • Presentation Software • Create\Show business plans • DTP • Creating marketing literature • Graphics Package • Editing photographs of procedures/marketing photos	2 (AO1.1) (1) (AO2.1) (1)	1 Mark for a suitable package and 1 mark for a relevant example for that package.  Do not allow:  Non-business software (E.g. games) Brand names (e.g Word/Excel) Database software  Examiner's Comments This question was generally answered well. Several candidates wrote brand names which was not an acceptable answer. Some candidates gave an example of a utility which was required for Question 2 (b).  To gain both marks, candidates were required to choose a suitable application type which would be useful in the given scenario.  Candidates are reminded not to use brand names for questions of this type.
	b	<ul> <li>1 mark per bullet up to a maximum of 2 marks, e.g:</li> <li>Disk Defragmentation</li> <li>To keep optimal r/w speed for her HDD</li> <li>File management</li> <li>To allow easy access to her file system</li> <li>Disk Drivers</li> <li> To allow her to use new peripheral devices</li> <li>System Clean-up</li> </ul>	4 (AO1.1) (2) (AO1.2) (2)	<ul> <li>1 Mark for a suitable utility and 1 mark for a relevant example for that utility.</li> <li>Do not accept task manager</li> <li>Accept: <ul> <li>Compression Software</li> <li> to make the file size smaller</li> <li>Backup Software</li> <li> to make copies of files</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

	<ul> <li> to keep her system free of redundant files</li> <li>Anti-Virus/Malware</li> <li> to find and remove/quarantine viruses/malware (A worms/trojans etc)</li> </ul>		Examiner's Comments This question was generally answered well although many candidates achieved 2 rather than 4 marks. Commonly, two utilities were correctly identified but the explanation to accompany the utility was too vague. Candidates were required to give examples of how the utilities could be used with the given scenario.
c	Mark Band 3–High Level (7-9 marks) The candidate demonstrates a thorough knowledge and understanding of open and closed source software; the material is generally accurate and detailed. The candidate has covered all 4 sections of cost, usability, security and Support available and for the top of this mark band will have covered all 4 well. Evidence/examples will be explicitly relevant to the explanation.  There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated.  Mark Band 2-Mid Level (4-6 marks) The candidate demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of open and closed source software; the material is generally accurate but at times underdeveloped. The candidate has covered all at least 2 of the 4 sections of cost, usability, security and Support available. Evidence/examples are for the most part implicitly relevant to the explanation.  There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most part relevant and supported by some evidence.  Mark Band 1-Low Level (1-3 marks)	9 (AO1.1) (2) (AO1.2) (2) (AO2.1) (2) (AO3.3) (3)	Knowledge: Cost Open Source  • (generally) free to use • May have to purchase maintenance contracts • Staff training if "non-standard"  Closed Source  • (sometimes) have to pay to license the software • If paid will (usually) it will come with some level of support  Usability/extensibility Open Source  • Tends to have a lower focus on UI • Source code released (under license) • Source can be edited • Can be redistributed (under license)  Closed Source  • Professionally developed • Distributed with a restrictive license • Only executable/object code is distributed/source code not distributed • Cannot be redistributed  Security Open Source

The candidate demonstrates a basic knowledge of how the layers of open and closed source software; the material is basic and contains some inaccuracies.

The candidate makes a limited attempt to apply acquired knowledge and understanding to the context provided.

The candidate provides nothing more than an unsupported assertion.

The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.

#### 0 marks

No attempt to answer the question or response is not worthy of credit.

- potentially massive bank of volunteer developers working on the product
- Many of the contributors may not be professional
- Code available to be scrutinised by anyone...
- ...but this may include people with malicious intentions

#### Closed Source

- Closed teams of developers
- More work scrutiny for code
- security fixes usually addressed quicker

# Support Available

Open Source

- Source code released (under license)
- Source can be edited
- Open communities mean lots of support options could be available

# **Closed Source**

 Support may be available from the company producing the software.

#### Application:

## Cost

Open Source

- Lower overheads to company
- Extra staff training and hardware cost could lead to total cost of ownership being higher

#### Closed Source

 Support from vendor can lead to quicker fixes.

# Usability

Open Source

- The ability to edit source code means bespoke functionality can be developed in house
- Lower focus on UI can mean a harder to use product (leading to higher training costs)

#### Closed Source

- Due to professional development, finish tends to be a higher standard
- As the organisation protects their IP they generally tend to be less buggy as the organisation reputation/business model will rely on it
- Lack of source code means extra features can only be developed by the vendor.

### Security

# Open Source

- Tends to be less secure as more people working on it, not always under rigorous oversight
- No paid developers mean people may not work on security fixes straight away

## Closed Source

- Developers work under tighter standards
- Code being scrutinised more will mean less likely to be ship with bugs
- Professional standards lead to quicker turnaround of bugs

# Support Available

Open Source

- Editable source code means could self-support
- Open communities mean there is vast amounts of knowledge available.

					Open Source     Open source would lead to potential cost savings if Charlie looked to self support by using online communities or handling the code herself.  Closed Source     If Charlie lacked technical skills, the better UI design from professional developers may make the UX smoother     Charlies would have a legal obligation to the data stored for her business. Closed source tighter security may strengthen this     Charlies business will rely on the uptime of her system. Professional support offered by the developers may mean less downtime in the case of software issues.  Examiner's Comments Candidates were assessed on the quality of their extended response in this question. Most of the candidates were clear on the basic difference between open and closed source software but did not link this in with the scenario. Mid-level responses were common with few candidates having a balanced discussion with coverage of all four of the required sections.
			Total	15	
8	а		Assembler	1 AO1.1 (1)	
	b	i	Iteration	1 AO2.2 (1)	
		ii	• 5	1 AO2.2 (1)	

		iii	<ul> <li>1 mark per bullet up to a maximum of 4 marks, e.g:</li> <li>Initialise Y and Z AND set X</li> <li>Correct use of IF</li> <li>Correct condition (e.g. if X &gt;= Y then)</li> <li>Assignment of Z in correct places</li> </ul>	4 AO3.1 (2) AO3.3 (2)	<pre>X Y Z variable alternatives are acceptable Solution: X = input() Y = 5 Z = 0 if X &gt;= Y then     Z = Y else     Z = X Endif</pre>
			Total	7	
			Source code / program code is freely available	2	
9	а	i	to edit/amend recompile.	AO1.1	
		ii	<ul> <li>e.g.</li> <li>Can modify code and adapt IDE to her needs</li> <li>Is likely to be financially free of cost.</li> <li>Can recompile to work on different systems</li> <li>Has the benefit of a community potentiallyimproving the system</li> <li>Can learn from others</li> <li>Can ensure no backdoors / malware</li> </ul>	1 AO2.1	Do not accept simply seeing the code (previous question).
	b	i	<ul> <li>Sections of code / program file</li> <li>Written by other authors / already written</li> <li>Containing useful routines</li> <li>Suitable example (e.g. GUI routines, databaseaccess routine, encryption, graphics)</li> </ul>	3 AO1.1 (2) AO2.1 (1)	Maximum 2 for definition, 1 for example
		ii	<ul> <li>Save time</li> <li>because no need to rewrite code</li> <li>Use expertise of others</li> <li>to complete tasks that require specialistknowledge / abstract away complexity.</li> <li>Has already been tested/the programmer doesn'thave to test it themselves</li> </ul>	2 AO1.2	

		Making debugging easier/saving time		
	iii	<ul> <li>May (significantly) increase size of compiled file</li> <li>as library contains many routines that aren't beingused.</li> <li>Not written by the programmer</li> <li>so introduces uncertainty / require further testing /programmer needs to spend time familiarisingthemselves with it</li> </ul>	2 AO1.2	
	iv	<ul> <li>Links the main program to libraries</li> <li>can either include them in the final executablecode</li> <li>or get the executable code to point to the externallibraries</li> </ul>	3 AO1.2	Accept terms static and dynamic for bullets 2 and 3, but only if these are explained.
		Total	13	